

Transitioning our business



Diggers and Dealers, Kalgoorlie
Peter Harold, Managing Director

ASX: PAN
www.panoramicresources.com

5 August 2015

Forward looking statements

This presentation may contain certain “forward-looking statements” which may not have been based solely on historical facts, but rather may be based on the Company’s current expectations about future events and results. Such forward-looking statements may include, without limitation:

- estimates of future earnings, the sensitivity of earnings to metal prices and foreign exchange rate movements;
- estimates of future metal production and sales;
- estimates of future cash flows, the sensitivity of cash flows to metals prices and foreign exchange rate movements;
- statements regarding future debt repayments;
- estimates of future capital expenditures;
- estimates of reserves and statements regarding future exploration results and the replacement of reserves; and
- statements regarding modifications to the Company’s hedge position.

Where the Company expresses or implies an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. However, forward looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks include, but are not limited to metals price volatility, currency fluctuations, increased production costs and variances in ore grade or recovery rates from those assumed in mining plans, as well as political and operational risks in the Countries and States in which we operate or sell product to, and governmental regulation and judicial outcomes.

For a more detailed discussion of such risks and other factors, see the Company’s Annual Reports, as well as the Company’s other filings. The Company does not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to any “forward-looking statement” to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this presentation, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.



Key topics

1

- **Brief history**

2


- **Here and now**

3

- **The future**

6

- **Additional information**



2001 IPO - \$3 million at 20 cents



2002 - we had a vision



2002 - The Savannah Feasibility Study

Assumptions	Base Case	Today's Prices
Metal and Currency		
Nickel (US\$/lb)	3.60	5.00
Copper (US\$/lb)	0.75	2.30
Cobalt (US\$/lb)	8.50	13.00
A\$/US\$	0.65	0.73
Net Revenue (A\$M)	429	
Operating Costs (A\$M)	(185)	
EBITDA (no hedging) (A\$M)	244	
EBIT (A\$M)	126	
NPV using 7.5% discount rate (A\$M)	50	
NPV per share (116 million shares)	\$0.43	
IRR (geared)	51%	

2003 - Financing and Chinese offtake




2003/04 - Building our first mine and processing plant




2005 - rolling the dice, Lanfranchi, 11kt Ni Reserve at Helmut Sth



2006 - Game changer, Deacon discovery (63,000t Ni)



2004 - 2015 - Over 170,000t Ni produced



2004 - 2015 - ridden the nickel rollercoaster



US\$ Ni price from 2000 to 2015



What this business has generated

Metal produced to FY15


■ Nickel	174,000t
■ Copper	48,000t
■ Cobalt	4,400t

Financials to FY14

■ Net Revenue	\$2.23B
■ EBITDA	\$803M
■ Cashflow from operations	\$748M
■ EBIT	\$287M
■ NPAT	\$186M
■ Royalties	\$103M
■ Income tax paid	\$62M
■ Exploration	\$105M
■ Total equity raised	\$95M
■ Investment in the business	\$463M



Dividends - \$114.3M fully franked to-date, 55.5 cents per share



Key topics

1

- Brief history

2

- Here and now

3

- The future

6

- Additional information



Our nickel business

Summary

- Two underground sulphide nickel projects

Recent Highlights

- Exploration success
 - **Savannah North**
 - **Lower Schmitz**

The Future

- Potential for significant mine life extension at both sites



Savannah Nickel Mine




Lanfranchi Nickel Mine



Improved safety performance

PANORAMIC LTI PERFORMANCE OVER LAST 12 MONTHS



Good people



Savannah FY2015

Production

- **Nickel** 8,726t - a new record
- **Copper** 5,314t
- **Cobalt** 443t

Exploration

- Savannah North Resource drilling
- Resource drilling extending Lower Zone & Western Splay significantly

Cost Savings

- Lower diesel price
- GPR Dehler/Company initiatives


Productivity improvements

- Copernicus open pit recommenced



Savannah North - major discovery

- Discovery hole 89.3m @ 1.60% Ni



Lanfranchi - FY2015

Production

- Nickel 10,575t

Exploration

- Discovered Lower Schmitz
- Commenced 9000 drill drive

Focus

- Jury-Metcalf development
- Pre-development of Lower Schmitz



Lanfranchi production update

Event

- Increased seismic activity

Outcomes

- Cease mining Deacon
- Continue 9000 drill drive

People

- 49 people employed
- 35 transferred to Savannah
- Very sadly 80 redundancies

Future

- Lower Schmitz




Discovery hole Lower Schmitz



Our PGM business

Panton

- Potential synergies between Panton and Savannah
- Resources
 - 14.3Mt @ 2.19g/t Pt, & 2.39g/t Pd



Panton BFS proposed mine development

Thunder Bay North

- Rio earning 70%
- Resources
 - 0.7Moz of Pt+Pd



Winter drilling at Thunder Bay North

Our gold business - realising early value

Gidgee

- Resources

- 1.3Moz at 2.3g/t Au

- Trade sale about to commence

Mt Henry (PAN 70%, MAT 30%)

- Feasibility released

- Resources

- 1.2Moz at 1.18g/t Au

- Sale to Metals X, 15.4 million shares (PAN 70% share)



Gidgee Aerial



Gidgee Mill

Key topics

1

- Brief history

2

- Here and now

3


- The future

6

- Additional information



Nickel price - hard work at these prices




Better times ahead




Nickel price - the bull case

- Deficits forecast from late 2015 due to Indonesian laterite ore stockpiles declining
- New NPI capacity in Indonesia likely to be delayed
- Long run incentive price of **US\$23,000/t - US\$25,000/t** is needed to generate acceptable returns on new investment



Source: Macquarie Bank, June 2015



Source: Macquarie Bank, June 2015

Lower Schmitz and Savannah North - how big are they?

- **Savannah North***

- 3.2-6.4Mt

- 1.5-2.1% Ni

- **Lower Schmitz***

- 275-746kt

- 5-6% Ni



Key attributes for a sustainable mining company

- ✓ Safety – No 1 value
- ✓ Good people
- ✓ Quality assets
- ✓ Diversity
- ✓ Margins
- ✓ Dividends
- ✓ Capital growth
- ✓ Strong partnerships
- ✓ Clear strategy



- ✓ Safety – No LTI's
- ✓ Resources – add 150,000t Ni
- ✓ Costs – Savannah unit costs down 20%
- ✓ Gold – monetise assets
- ✓ PGMs – advance projects

At all times we must focus on what's important




Thank you

ASX : PAN

www.panoramicresources.com

Mission Statement

We strive to achieve excellence in all aspects of our business to provide long term capital growth and dividend return to our shareholders, a safe and rewarding work environment for our employees, and opportunities and benefits to the people in the communities we operate in.



Additional information & Appendices

Resources, Reserves, Relevant Disclosures and Competent Persons Statements

ASX PAN



Canada
Thunder Bay North
Pt Pd

Norway
Sulitjelma Zn Cu

Western Australia
Savannah Ni Cu Co
Lanfranchi Ni Cu
Copernicus Ni
Panton Pt Pd
Mt Henry Au
Gidgee Au



www.panoramicresources.com

Note: further 2012 Edition JORC compliance tables are referenced in the PAN ASX announcement dated 30 September 2014

Appendix 1 - Nickel Resources (Ni,Cu,Co)

Savannah, Copernicus, Lanfranchi Resources Table at 30 June 2014

Resource	Equity (%)	Metal	Date of Resource	JORC Compliance	Measured		Indicated		Inferred		Total		Metal Tonnes
					Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	
Savannah Project	100												
		Nickel	Jul-13	2012	1,709,000	1.47	1,386,000	1.53	-	-	3,095,000	1.50	46,300
		Copper				0.79		1.02				0.89	27,600
		Cobalt				0.08		0.07				0.08	2,400
Copernicus	100												
		Nickel	Jul-10	2004	389,000	1.08	400,000	1.38	23,000	1.01	812,000	1.23	10,000
		Copper				0.66		0.99		0.70		0.82	6,700
		Cobalt				0.04		0.05		0.03		0.04	400
Lanfranchi Project	100	Nickel											
Cruikshank			Apr-11	2004	-	-	2,018,000	1.42	611,000	0.79	2,629,000	1.28	33,600
Deacon			Mar-14	2012	368,000	2.64	156,000	2.29	126,000	1.63	650,000	2.36	15,300
Gigantus			Jul-07	2004	-	-	-	-	652,000	1.63	652,000	1.63	10,600
Helmut South			May-14	2012	2,000	4.86	-	-	-	-	2,000	4.86	100
Helmut South Ext			Apr-14	2012	26,000	3.19	84,000	2.94			110,000	3.00	3,300
John			Jul-07	2004	-	-	-	-	291,000	1.42	291,000	1.42	4,100
Lanfranchi			Apr-14	2012	53,000	4.85	66,000	4.44	40,000	3.98	159,000	4.46	7,100
Martin			Feb-12	2012	-	-	47,000	3.58	7,000	4.16	54,000	3.66	2,000
McComish			Jul-07	2004	-	-	-	-	992,000	1.49	992,000	1.49	14,800
Jury-Metcalf			Jan-14	2012	-	-	280,000	1.99	31,000	1.46	312,000	1.94	6,000
Schmitz			Aug-14	2012	8,000	6.43	48,000	3.69	16,000	2.95	72,000	3.84	2,800
Winner			Jul-11	2004	-	-	14,000	4.40	-	-	14,000	4.40	600
Total (Equity)		Nickel											156,600
		Copper											34,300
		Cobalt											2,800

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

Resources are inclusive of Reserves

All Savannah Project Resources and Reserves, with the exception of Copernicus have been transitioned to JORC Code 2012 compliance (*refer to the relevant JORC 2012 compliance tables in ASX announcement dated 30 September 2014*). The Copernicus Project Resources and Reserves remain JORC 2004 compliant

The Resource Cut-off grade at both Savannah and Copernicus is 0.50% Ni

The Resource Cut-off grade at Lanfranchi is 1.00% Ni

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Mineral Resources (excluding the Copernicus Project) is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Paul Hetherington (MAusIMM) for the Savannah Project Resource and Bradley Robinson (MAusIMM) for the Lanfranchi Project Resources. The aforementioned are full-time employees of Panoramic Resources Limited. Mr Hetherington is a Panoramic shareholder. The aforementioned have sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ("the 2012 JORC Code"). Both Mr Hetherington and Mr Robinson consent to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this release that relates to Mineral Resources for the Copernicus Project is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Paul Hetherington (MAusIMM). Mr Hetherington is a full-time employee and shareholder of Panoramic Resources Limited. The aforementioned has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the Australian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ("the 2004 JORC Code"). Mr Hetherington consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

Appendix 2 - Nickel Reserves (Ni,Cu,Co)

Savannah, Copernicus, Lanfranchi Reserves Table at 30 June 2014

Reserve	Equity (%)	Metal	Date of Reserve	JORC Compliance	Proven		Probable		Total		Metal Tonnes
					Tonnes	(%)	Tonnes	(%)	Tonnes	(%)	
Savannah Project											
Upper Zone	100	Nickel	Jul-14	2012	-	-	497,000	1.23	497,000	1.23	6,100
		Copper								0.55	2,800
		Cobalt								0.06	300
Lower Zone	100	Nickel	Jul-14	2012	-	-	1,884,000	1.28	1,884,000	1.28	24,100
		Copper								0.80	15,100
		Cobalt								0.07	1,200
Copernicus O/Pit	100	Nickel	Jul-14	2004	-	-	365,000	1.03	365,000	1.03	3,800
		Copper								0.63	2,300
		Cobalt								0.04	100
Lanfranchi Project 100											
Deacon			Jul-14	2012	-	-	459,000	2.05	459,000	2.05	9,400
Jury-Metcalf			Jul-14	2012			238,000	1.58	238,000	1.58	3,800
Lanfranchi			Jul-14	2012	-	-	84,000	3.32	84,000	3.32	2,800
Schmitz			Jul-14	2012			35,000	2.31	35,000	2.31	800
Helmut Sth Ext			Jul-14	2012	-	-	126,000	2.01	126,000	2.01	2,500
Total (Equity)		Nickel									53,300
		Copper									20,200
		Cobalt									1,700

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

Reserves are inclusive of Resources

The Reserve Cut-off grade at Savannah is 1.0% Ni Equivalent (approximately 0.85% Ni) and at Copernicus is 0.50% Ni

The Reserve Cut-off grade at Lanfranchi is 1.0% Ni

Competent Persons Statement

Information in this release relating to Ore Reserves (excluding the Copernicus Project) has been completed by or reviewed by Lilong Chen (MAusIMM). Mr Chen is a full-time employee and an indirect shareholder of Panoramic. Mr Chen has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Chen consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Information in this release relating to Ore Reserves for the Copernicus Project has been completed by or reviewed by Jonathon Bayley (MAusIMM). Mr Bayley is a former full time employee of Panoramic Resources Limited. The aforementioned has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Bayley consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Appendix 3 - Gold Project(s) Resources (Au)

Gidgee Project and Mt Henry Project Resources Table at 30 June 2014

Resource	Equity (%)	Metal	Date of Resource	JORC Compliance	Measured		Indicated		Inferred		Total		Metal (Au oz)
					Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	
Gidgee Project	100	Gold											
Swan OC			Jun-12	2004	-	-	3,399,000	2.40	327,000	3.51	3,726,000	2.49	298,600
Heron South			Oct-12	2004	-	-	1,000,000	2.31	136,000	1.41	1,136,000	2.20	80,300
Howards			Jul-13	2012	-	-	5,255,000	1.07	716,000	1.01	5,971,000	1.06	204,000
Specimen Well			Jun-12	2004	-	-	289,000	2.06	72,000	1.79	361,000	2.00	23,200
Toedter			Jun-12	2004	-	-	-	-	661,000	1.62	661,000	1.62	34,400
Eagles Peak			Mar-06	2004	-	-	13,000	3.46	-	-	13,000	3.46	1,400
Orion			Mar-06	2004	-	-	22,000	3.04	-	-	22,000	3.04	2,200
Deep South			Mar-06	2004	-	-	20,000	3.02	-	-	20,000	3.02	1,900
Shiraz			Jul-13	2012	-	-	2,476,000	0.84	440,000	0.76	2,916,000	0.83	77,600
Swan UG			Jun-12	2004	-	-	207,000	8.71	125,000	9.02	332,000	8.83	94,200
Swift UG			Jun-12	2004	-	-	-	-	72,000	9.23	72,000	9.23	21,400
Omega UG			Mar-06	2004	-	-	31,000	9.20	-	-	31,000	9.20	9,200
Kingfisher UG			Mar-06	2004	-	-	390,000	6.80	-	-	390,000	6.80	85,300
Wilsons UG			Jul-13	2012	-	-	2,131,000	5.33	136,000	5.97	2,267,000	5.37	391,500
Mt Henry Project	70	Gold											
Selene			Jul-13	2012	-	-	11,491,000	1.17	3,466,000	0.93	14,957,000	1.11	535,900
Mt Henry			Jul-13	2012	-	-	10,487,000	1.27	4,435,000	1.14	14,922,000	1.23	590,800
North Scotia			Jul-13	2012	-	-	250,000	3.11	97,000	1.95	347,000	2.79	31,100
Total (Equity)		Gold			-	-	37,461,000	1.67	10,683,000	1.37	48,144,000	1.60	2,483,100

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes – Gidgee Project:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

On the Gidgee Project, Howards, Shiraz and Wilsons Resources have been transitioned to JORC Code 2012 compliance (*refer to the relevant JORC 2012 compliance tables in ASX announcement dated 30 September 2014*). All other Resources remain JORC 2004 compliant. Individual Project Resources and Reserves are stated on an equity basis.

The Resource Cut-off grade for Swan OC Resource is 0.7 g/t Au, • Eagles Peak 1.2 g/t Au, • Orion 1.3 g/t Au, • Deep South 1.2 g/t Au, • Swan UG 4.0 g/t Au for Indicated resources and 5.0 g/t Au for Inferred resources, • Swift UG is 5.0 g/t Au, • Omega UG 3.0 g/t Au, • Kingfisher UG 3.0 g/t Au, and Wilson UG 2.0g/t Au. For Heron South, Specimen Well and Toedter the Resource Cut-off grade is 0.5 g/t Au.

Notes – Mt Henry Project:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

All Mt Henry Project Resources have been transitioned to JORC Code 2012 compliance (*refer to the relevant JORC 2012 compliance tables in ASX Announcement dated 30 September 2014*).

All Mt Henry Project Resources are stated on an equity basis.

The Resource Cut-off grade for all Mt Henry Resources is 0.40g/t Au.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this release that relates to the Swan OC, Eagles Peak, Orion, Deep South, Swan UG, Swift UG, Omega, and Kingfisher Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Dr Spero Carras (FAusIMM). Dr Carras is the Executive Director of Carras Mining Pty Ltd and was acting as a consultant to Legend Mining Ltd in 2006 and Panoramic Resources Limited in 2012. Dr Carras has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code. Dr Carras consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this release that relates to the Heron South, Howards, Shiraz, Specimen Well, Toedter and Wilsons Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Andrew Bewsher (AIG) and Ben Pollard (AIG & MAusIMM). The aforementioned are full time employees of BM Geological Services and have sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 and 2012 Editions of the JORC Code. The aforementioned both consent to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to the Mt Henry Project Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Andrew Bewsher (MAusIMM). Andrew Bewsher is a full time employee of BM Geological Services and has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Bewsher consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

Appendix 4 - Panton PGM Project - Resources

Panton PGM Project Resources Table at 30 June 2014

Resource	Equity (%)	Date of Resource	JORC Compliance	Tonnage	Grade					Metal (oz)	
					Pt (g/t)	Pd (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Ni (%)	Cu (%)	Pt	Pd
Top Reef	100	Mar-12	2004								
Measured				4,400,000	2.46	2.83	0.42	0.28	0.08	348,000	400,000
Indicated				4,130,000	2.73	3.21	0.38	0.31	0.09	363,000	426,000
Inferred				1,560,000	2.10	2.35	0.38	0.36	0.13	105,000	118,000
Middle Reef	100	Mar-12	2004								
Measured				2,130,000	1.36	1.09	0.10	0.18	0.03	93,000	75,000
Indicated				1,500,000	1.56	1.28	0.10	0.19	0.04	75,000	62,000
Inferred				600,000	1.22	1.07	0.01	0.19	0.05	24,000	21,000
Total (Equity)				14,320,000	2.19	2.39	0.31	0.27	0.08	984,000	1,081,000

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes – Panton Project:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this release that relates to the Panton Project Mineral Resource is based on a resources estimate compiled by Ted Coupland who at the time was a Director of Cube Consulting Pty Ltd. and is a Member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Ted Coupland has more than 10 years' experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and in the activity which he is undertaking and qualifies as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Coupland consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which they appear.

Appendix 5 - Thunder Bay North PGM Project - Resources

Thunder Bay North Resources Table at 30 June 2014

Resource	Equity (%)	Date of Resource	JORC Compliance	Tonnage	Grade								Metal (oz)		
					Pt (g/t)	Pd (g/t)	Rh (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Ni (%)	Co (%)	Pt-Eq (g/t)	Pt	Pd
Open Pit	100	Jan-11	2004												
Indicated				8,460,000	1.04	0.98	0.04	0.07	1.50	0.25	0.18	0.014	2.13	283,000	267,000
Inferred				53,000	0.96	0.89	0.04	0.07	1.60	0.22	0.18	0.014	2.00	2,000	2,000
Underground	100	Feb-12	2004												
Indicated				1,369,000	1.65	1.54	0.08	0.11	2.60	0.43	0.24	0.016	3.67	73,000	68,000
Inferred				472,000	1.32	1.25	0.06	0.09	2.10	0.36	0.19	0.011	2.97	20,000	19,000
Total (Equity)				10,354,000										377,000	355,000

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes – Open Pit Resource:

The effective date of this estimate is 11 January 2011, which represents the cut-off date for the most recent scientific and technical evaluation of the deposit. The Resource does not include drilling conducted since 31 May 2010. The Mineral Resource categories under the JORC Code (2004) are the same as the equivalent categories under the (Canadian) CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (2010). The portion of the Mineral Resource underlying Current Lake is assumed to be accessible and that necessary permission and permitting will be acquired. All figures have been rounded; summations within the tables may not agree due to rounding. The open pit Mineral Resource is reported at a cut-off grade of 0.59 g/t Pt-Eq within a Lerchs-Grossman resource pit shell optimized on Pt-Eq. The strip ratio (waste:ore) of this pit is 9.5:1. The contained metal figures shown are in situ. No assurance can be given that the estimated quantities will be produced. The platinum-equivalency formula is based on assumed metal prices and overall recoveries. The Pt-Eq formula is: $\text{Pt-Eq g/t} = \text{Pt g/t} + \text{Pd g/t} \times 0.3204 + \text{Au g/t} \times 0.6379 + \text{Ag g/t} \times 0.0062 + \text{Cu g/t} \times 0.00011 + \text{Total Ni g/t} \times 0.000195 + \text{Total Co g/t} \times 0.000124 + \text{Rh g/t} \times 2.1816$. The conversion factor shown in the formula for each metal represents the conversion from each metal to platinum on a recovered value basis. The assumed metal prices used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt US\$1,595/oz, Pd US\$512/oz, Au US\$1,015/oz, Ag US\$15.74/oz, Cu US\$2.20/lb, Ni US\$7.71/lb, Co US\$7.71/lb and Rh US\$3,479/oz. The assumed combined flotation and Platsol™ process recoveries used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt 76%, Pd 75%, Au 76%, Ag 55%, Cu 86%, Ni 44%, Co 28% and Rh 76%. The assumed refinery payables are: Pt 98%, Pd 98%, Au 97%, Ag 85%, Cu 100%, Ni 100%, Co 100% and Rh 98%.

Qualifying statement and notes cont.

Notes – Underground Resource:

The Underground Mineral Resource estimate for the East Beaver Lake extension was prepared by Panoramic personnel by ordinary kriging methods using the same technical and financial parameters as those used by AMEC Americas Limited for the Underground Mineral Resource estimate reported by Magma Metals limited (“Magma”) on 6 September 2010. The Underground Mineral Resource is reported at a cut-off grade of 1.94g/t Pt-Eq. The contained metal figures shown are in situ. The platinum equivalency formula is based on assumed metal prices and recoveries and therefore represents Pt-Eq metal in situ. The Pt-Eq formula is: $\text{Pt-Eq g/t} = \text{Pt g/t} + \text{Pd g/t} \times 0.2721 + \text{Au g/t} \times 0.3968 + \text{Ag g/t} \times 0.0084 + \text{Cu g/t} \times 0.000118 + \text{Sulphide Ni g/t} \times 0.000433 + \text{Sulphide Co g/t} \times 0.000428 + \text{Rh g/t} \times 2.7211$. The assumed metal prices used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt US\$1,470/oz, Pd US\$400/oz, Rh US\$4,000/oz, Au US\$875/oz, Ag US\$14.30/oz, Cu US\$2.10/lb, Ni US\$7.30/lb and Co US\$13.00/lb. The assumed process recoveries used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt 75%, Pd 75%, Rh 75%, Au 50%, Ag 50%, Cu 90%, and Ni and Co in sulphide 90%. The assumed smelter recoveries used in the Pt-Eq formula are Pt 85%, Pd 85%, Rh 85%, Au 85%, Ag 85%, Cu 85%, Ni 90% and Co 50%. To account for a portion of the Ni and Co occurring as silicate minerals, Ni and Co in sulphide were estimated by linear regression of MgO to total Ni and total Co respectively. The regression formula for Ni in sulphide (NiSx) is: $\text{NiSx} = \text{Ni} - (\text{MgO}\% \times 60.35 - 551.43)$. The regression formula for Co in sulphide (CoSx) is: $\text{CoSx} = \text{Co} - (\text{MgO}\% \times 4.45 - 9.25)$. All figures have been rounded. Summations within the tables may not agree due to rounding. Magma undertook quality assurance and quality control studies on the mineral resource data and concluded that the collar, assay and lithology data are adequate to support resource estimation.

The Mineral Resource categories under JORC (2004) are the same as the equivalent categories under (Canadian) CIM Definition Standards (2005). The Mineral Resource has been estimated in conformity with both generally accepted CIM “Estimation of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves Best Practice” (2003) guidelines and the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this release that relates to Open Pit Mineral Resources was compiled by AMEC Americas Limited by Greg Kulla P.Geol (APOG #1752, APEGBC #23492) and David Thomas, P.Geol, MAusIMM (APEGBC #149114, MAusIMM #225250), both full time employees of AMEC Americas Limited at the time of the resource estimate. The aforementioned have sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activities undertaken to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code and independent qualified persons as this term is defined in Canadian National Instrument 43-101.

The information in this release that relates to underground Mineral Resources was prepared by Guoliang Leon Ma P.Geol and Allan MacTavish P.Geol, both full time employees of Panoramic PGM (Canada) Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Panoramic Resources Limited. Both the aforementioned have sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activities undertaken to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code and qualified persons as this term is defined in Canadian National Instrument 43-101. The aforementioned persons consent to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

Savannah North - Exploration Target

Width of mineralisation (metres)	Plunge extent of mineralisation (metres)	Approximate thickness of mineralisation (metres)	Assumed average density	Exploration target grade range %Ni		Exploration target tonnage range (millions tonnes)
				Low	High	
350	600	4.0	3.8	1.5%	2.1%	3.2
350	700	5.0	3.8	1.5%	2.1%	4.7
350	800	6.0	3.8	1.5%	2.1%	6.4

Cautionary / Clarifying Statement – the Exploration Target reported here is not a Mineral Resource. The Exploration target reported uses information gained from a combination of actual drill results from surface and underground drilling and supporting geophysical surveys. The level of exploration carried out to date is insufficient to define a Mineral Resource. The Exploration Target reported is conceptual in nature requiring further exploration. It remains uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource. Refer to Panoramic ASX Quarterly Report for the period ended 30 June 2014 for the key assumptions and calculation methodology.

Lower Schmitz – Exploration Target

Zone	Width of mineralisation	Plunge extent of mineralisation	Approximate average thickness of mineralisation	Assumed average density	Exploration target grade range %Ni		Exploration target tonnage range
	(metres)	(metres)	(metres)	(t/m ³)	(Low – High)		(tonnes)
Zone A	90	245	3.6	3.50	5.0%	6.0%	275,000
Zone B	125	325	3.6	3.50	5.0%	6.0%	510,000
Zone c	125	475	3.6	3.50	5.0%	6.0%	746,000

Cautionary / Clarifying Statement – the Exploration Target reported here is not a Mineral Resource. The Exploration target reported uses information gained from a combination of actual drill results from surface and underground drilling and supporting geophysical surveys. The level of exploration carried out to date is insufficient to define a Mineral Resource. The Exploration Target reported is conceptual in nature requiring further exploration. It remains uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource. Refer to Panoramic ASX Quarterly Report for the period ended 30 June 2015 for the key assumptions and calculation methodology.