

## DRILLING RECOMMENCES AT DOUBLE MAGIC NI-CU PROJECT

**Buxton Resources Limited (ASX: BUX & BUXO) advises that it has completed an intensive technical review at its 100% owned Double Magic Ni-Cu Project in Western Australia, and that a Phase Two drilling program has now commenced.**


### *Intensive Technical Work Program Completed*

The company has spent the past two weeks at Double Magic (Location in Figure 1 below) completing intense and thorough ground investigations including detailed geological mapping, structural mapping, down-hole geophysics, surface geophysics, and surface geochemistry, to further understand likely controls on the mineralisation identified during the Phase One drill program completed during August 2015.

Results from that program included the discovery intersection in DMRC0003 of 17m @ 1.78% Ni, 1.16% Cu from 46 metres down-hole, including 8m @ 3.05% Ni, 1.88% Cu from 50m down hole (as previously reported on 15th August 2015).

The Company would like readers to note that any reported down-hole intersections are not necessarily an indication of true thickness.

Final assay data for all Phase One drilling has now been received, with significant (>0.25% Ni) mineralisation intersected in a total of six drillholes. All such significant intercepts are listed in Table 1 overleaf, with details of all Buxton drillholes included as Table 2 at the end of this document.



*Figure 1. Location of the Double Magic Ni-Cu Project in Western Australia. Also shown is the location of Panoramic's Savannah Ni-Cu Mine.*

Hole	Target	Intersection details					
		Depth from (m)	Depth to (m)	Downhole Width (m)	% Ni	% Cu	% Co
DMRC0001	A	140	141	1	0.30	0.12	0.010
		143	146	3	0.45	0.09	0.019
DMRC0002	D	No significant intercepts					
DMRC0003	D	5	8	3	0.31	0.09	0.014
		41	42	1	3.64	0.75	0.118
		46	63	17	1.78	1.16	0.060
		<i>including</i> 50	<i>58</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>3.05</i>	<i>1.88</i>	<i>0.100</i>
		72	73	1	0.35	0.22	0.012
DMRC0004	C	44	51	7	0.37	0.13	0.014
		65	69	4	0.29	0.17	0.012
		152	174	22	0.49	0.20	0.019
DMRC0005	C	Hole abandoned, not sampled					
DMRC0006	G	No significant intercepts					
DMRC0007	B	207	208	1	0.49	0.15	0.005
		217	222	5	0.58	0.35	0.027
DMRC0008	F	53	58	5	0.30	0.22	0.020
DMRC0009	E	169	171	2	0.34	0.14	0.022

Table 1 – Significant (>0.25% Ni) mineralisation intersected by Buxton. Intersections can include internal results of up to 1m below 0.25% Ni.

Recent mapping in the area of known conductors has indicated the mafic sequence/cycle may be locally overturned, and that a chrome-rich unit in the dolerites may provide vectors to possible mineralisation sites.

The presence of multiple younger, less deformed and highly magnetic dolerite dykes cross-cutting the more typical Ruins Dolerite has also been recognised. One of these apparently barren dykes is proximal to the mineralisation intersected in DMRC0003, and appears to have some displacement across it, suggesting dyke emplacement may have exploited pre-existing structural features. Hole DMRC0002 drilled directly down one such dyke.

Mapping and down-hole TEM results from DMRC0002 indicate that a conductive source may extend down-dip from the intersection in DMRC0003, on the other side of the barren dyke. This potential down-dip extension is the most obvious initial target for Phase Two drilling.

Drillholes, topography, mapped/interpreted surface geology, and modelled conductive plates have been loaded into 3D-capable GIS software and evaluated. Ongoing interpretation and planning is being conducted on-site in a full 3D environment.

### Phase Two Drilling and Forward Work Program

Design of the Phase Two drill program will be subject to ongoing results, but is currently planned to include between 1700 to 2700m of RC drilling in between 10-16 holes, and between 900 to 1200m of HQ diamond drilling in between 5-8 holes, across targets at Conductors D, C, B and A, as seen in Figure 2 below.

It is anticipated that the Phase Two drill program will take 4-6 weeks to complete. During this time, additional geophysical surveys will also be completed, including a high-power large fixed loop transient electro-magnetic survey (HP FLTEM) over 2.3km<sup>2</sup> centred on Conductor D, and a heliborne VTEMmax survey over the balance of Buxton's tenements (approximately 54 km<sup>2</sup>).




Figure 2 – Simplified map of the central area of the Double Magic Project with modelled ground EM conductors, interpreted geology, all completed drillholes, and selected Buxton drilling results

Target	Hole ID	East	North	RL	Az	Dip	EOH
A	DMRC0001	654,429	8,126,984	102	042	-65	192
D	DMRC0002	655,389	8,127,172	135	034	-50	96
D	DMRC0003	655,437	8,127,235	158	213	-75	90
C	DMRC0004	655,150	8,126,711	125	018	-55	186
C	DMRC0005*	655,095	8,126,625	105	008	-55	37
G	DMRC0006	654,870	8,127,850	86	040	-60	120
B	DMRC0007	654,620	8,126,822	96	360	-70	330
F	DMRC0008	655,031	8,127,804	89	020	-65	78
E	DMRC0009	655,539	8,127,441	101	047	-55	204
<i>*Hole abandoned due to excessive deviation</i>							1,333

Table 2 – Buxton’s Completed Drilling at Double Magic. Coordinates are MGA Zone 51 (GDA94)

For further information regarding Buxton Resources Limited please contact:

**Sam Wright**

Company Secretary

[sam@buxtonresources.com.au](mailto:sam@buxtonresources.com.au)

## Competent Person

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Rolf Forster, Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, and Mr Derek Marshall, Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Forster is an Independent Consultant to Buxton Resources Limited and Mr Marshall is a full-time employee. Mr Forster and Mr Marshall have sufficient experience which is relevant to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a “Competent Person”, as defined in the 2012 edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Forster and Mr Marshall consent to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to previous exploration results is information previously reported by Victory Mines Limited (ASX: VIC) under the 2004 edition of The Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (“JORC Code”) on 12/09/2012, 10/10/2012, 25/10/2012, 16/01/2013, 13/03/2013, 24/04/2013, 29/05/2013, 11/06/2013, 20/06/2013, 05/07/2013, 06/08/2013, 12/08/2013 and 13/09/2013. There have been no material changes to the Exploration Results reported in the announcements of Victory Mines Limited. Buxton has not yet been able to completely verify all of the historical Exploration Results. Buxton will report further in relation to the project once sufficient work has been completed to report under the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code.

## JORC Table: Section 1 – Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down-hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	Early stage exploration drilling at the Double Magic project has been undertaken utilizing a Reverse Circulation (RC) rig.  The drillhole locations are picked up by handheld GPS. Sampling was carried out under Buxton protocols and QAQC procedures are per industry best practice.
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	RC drilling was employed to generate 1m samples. A rig mounted cyclone and cone splitter was used to provide a bulk sample and a representative split sample for assay. Either the 1m split or a composite (hand speared) sample was collected for assay purposes.
	<i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	Samples are submitted to Intertek Genalysis in Perth for analysis. A standard dry, crush and pulverize was followed by a 25g charge for fire assay with an ICP-MS finish for Au, Pt, Pd and a four-acid digestion finished with ICP-OES for a suite of 33 elements.
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i>	WBH Drilling completed a total of 9 holes for 1,333m of RC drilling at the Double Magic Project, completing the Phase 1 drill program.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	The RC bulk sample recovery is routinely examined for representivity. It is not believed that any bias has occurred due to loss or gain of sample.
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	100% of the drill holes are geologically logged in real time by qualified and experienced geologists, recording relevant data to a set template. All logging included lithological features, mineral assemblages and estimated mineralization percentages. All data was codified to a set of company code systems. All chips are photographed.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i>	
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	All 1m intervals were split with a rig mounted cone splitter. Less mineralised analysis samples were prepared as multiple metre (generally 4m composites) spear samples. Sample preparation is consistent with industry best practice. Field QC procedures involved the use of certified reference material assay standards, blanks and duplicates for company QC measures, and laboratory standards, replicate assaying and barren washes for laboratory QC measures. The insertion rate of each of these QAQC measures averaged 1:20. The sample size is deemed appropriate for the material and analysis method.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	The samples were analysed at Intertek Genalysis in Perth, Australia. Sample preparation included drying, crushing, splitting and pulverizing. A four acid digest followed by a 33 element ICP analysis was conducted on all samples. The samples were also analysed by Fire Assay with an ICP finish for Au, Pt and Pd. The laboratory procedures are considered to be appropriate for reporting according to industry best practice.



	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	Not applicable.
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	The results of the laboratory-inserted standards, blanks and sample repeats demonstrate the accuracy and precision of methods employed.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	Significant mineralization has been verified by alternative company personnel.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	There have been no twinned holes due to the early stage nature of this exploration program.
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	All data is collected initially on paper and handheld GPS. This data is hand entered to spread sheets and validated by Company geologists. This data is then imported and validated using MapInfo software. Physical data sheets are stored at the company office. Digital data is securely archived on and off-site.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	No adjustments to assay data have been made.
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Handheld GPS (+/-5m) as well as reference to topographical and remote sensing data.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	MGA51 (GDA94).
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	Topographic elevation was recorded via handheld GPS and checked against remote sensing data, this is deemed sufficient for this stage of exploration.
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Drill holes are based on geophysical targets and not equally spaced. Samples from DMRC003 were taken as 1m splits for the entire hole.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	Not applicable – No Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve calculations have been performed.
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	Due to the early stage of investigation and the nature of drilling (RC chips) it is not possible to determine the amount of bias due to the relationship between drilling orientation and orientation of mineralization.
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	All mineralized intervals are down hole intervals, not true width.
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Samples were packaged and stored in secure storage from the time of gathering through to submission. Laboratory best practice methods were employed by the laboratory upon receipt. Returned pulps will be stored at a secure company warehouse.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	No audits of the sampling techniques or data were carried out due to the early stage of exploration. It is considered by the Company that industry best practice methods have been employed at all stages of the exploration.

## Section 2 – Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i>	The Double Magic Project is located in the Kimberley region of Western Australia and consists of four exploration licences (E04/1533, E04/2142, E04/2026 & E04/2060) held by Alexander Creek Pty Ltd. Alexander Creek Pty Ltd is a wholly (100%) owned subsidiary of Buxton Resources Limited.
	<i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	The tenements are in good standing with the DMP and there are no known impediments for exploration on these tenements.
Exploration done by other parties	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	Data used during the appraisal of the Double Magic Project (previously known as the Alexander Creek Project, Clara Hills, Jack's Hill, Limestone Springs & Maura's Reward) has been collected by numerous

		<p>exploration parties, including Alexander Creek Pty Ltd, Victory Mines Limited (ASX:VIC), Proto Resources and Investments Limited (ASX:PRW), and Ram Resources Limited (ASX:RMR). All geophysical data has been independently reviewed by Southern Geoscience Consultants. All historical data presented has been previously reported under JORC 2004 and there has been no material change (see Competent Persons Statement for details of original reports).</p>
<i>Geology</i>	<p><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></p>	<p>The Project area lies within the Palaeoproterozoic Hooper Province of the King Leopold Orogen in the Kimberley region of Western Australia. The geology of the Project is characterized by mica schists of the Marboo Formation which are intruded by thick sills of the Ruins Dolerite. The Ruins Dolerite is a medium- to fine-grained mafic-ultramafic intrusive that is host to the known nickel-copper sulphide mineralization. This mineralization is interpreted to represent primary orthomagmatic sulphide mineralization, however there appears to be significant re-working and alteration of the mineralization in places (in particular at the Jack's Hill Gossan where the mineralization is dominated by copper carbonates and contains limited nickel). Importantly the gossan at Jack's Hill does not have an electromagnetic (EM) signature, whereas the EM targets tested to date all appear to be due to nickel and copper enriched sulphide mineralization.</p>
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>o easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>o elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>o dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li><i>o down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li><i>o hole length</i></li> </ul> <p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></p>	<p>See Table 2 in body of release.</p>
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p> <p><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	<p>No weighting, truncations, aggregates or metal equivalents were used.</p>
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></p> <p><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></p>	<p>The relationship between the true mineralization width and intercept length is not known at this early stage of drilling, however true width of the intercept in DMRC003 is interpreted to be less than intercept length.</p>
<i>Diagrams</i>	<p><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	<p>Refer to figures/tables in body of release.</p>
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<p><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<p>All currently available exploration results have been reported.</p>

<p><i>Other substantive exploration data</i></p>	<p><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	<p>There is no other exploration data that is deemed to be meaningful or material.</p>
<p><i>Further work</i></p>	<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p>	<p>See text in body of release.</p>
	<p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	<p>See modelled conductors in Figures within the text of this report. Additional zones of interest may be established based on geological information (such as drilling or downhole data). Regionally, the extensive land package containing significant exposure of the nickeliferous host lithology the Ruin's Dolerite are of exploration interest.</p>