

Ref: 421589

14 February 2017

ASX Market Announcements Australian Securities Exchange 20 Bridge Street SYDNEY NSW 2000 By Electronic Lodgement

Dear Sir/Madam

31 December 2016 Half Year Conference Call Presentation

Attached please find the presentation in relation to the 31 December 2016 Half Year Financial Report conference call and investor update to be held tomorrow morning at 7:30am Perth time. Full details in relation to the call were announced on 03 February 2017.

Yours faithfully Paladin Energy Ltd

ALEXANDER MOLYNEUX

CEO





Disclaimer and Notes for JORC and NI 43-101 Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves

This presentation includes certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements". All statements in this presentation, other than statements of historical facts, that address future production, reserve or resource potential, exploration drilling, exploitation activities and events or developments that Paladin Energy Ltd (the "Company") expects to occur, are forward-looking statements.

Although the Company believes the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward looking statements include market prices, exploitation and exploration successes, and continued availability of capital and financing and general economic, market or business conditions.

Investors are cautioned that any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. The Company does not assume any obligation to update or revise its forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

In the following presentation, for those deposits that are reported as conforming to the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) 2004 or 2012 code, the terms Inferred Mineral Resources, Indicated Mineral Resources, Measured Mineral Resources, Proved Ore Reserves, Probable Ore Reserves and Competent Person are equivalent to the terms Inferred Mineral Resources, Indicated Mineral Resources, Measured Mineral Resources, Mineral Reserves, Proven Mineral Reserves, Probable Mineral Reserves and Qualified Person, respectively, used in Canadian National Instrument 43-101 (NI 43-101).

The technical information in this presentation that relates to Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by David Princep B.Sc. and Stephanie Raiseborough B.E., both of whom are Fellows of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr. Princep and Ms. Raiseborough each have sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity that they are undertaking to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves", and as Qualified Persons as defined in NI 43-101. Mr. Princep and Ms. Raiseborough consent to the inclusion of the relevant information in this announcement in the form and context in which it appears.

Some of the information in this presentation, in relation to the mineral resources and ore reserves for all deposits except Manyingee and Michelin, was prepared and first disclosed under the JORC Code 2004. It has not been updated since to comply with JORC Code 2012 on the basis that the information that the estimates are derived from has not materially changed since it was last reported.



PALADIN A GLOBAL URANIUM LEADER

A GEODAL GRANION LEADER

OWNS LANGER HEINRICH A STRATEGIC TIER ONE MINE

OPTIMISATION SUCCESS FOR SUSTAINABILITY THROUGH THE CYCLE


BALANCE SHEET STRENGTH AND FLEXIBILITY*

BEST SENIOR LEVERAGE TO URANIUM UPSIDE

*Assuming Restructure Proposal announced 10 January 2017 completes



Global Uranium Leader



Source: Company filings

Note:

1. Paladin figure based on attributable total production capacity



Langer Heinrich A Strategic Tier One Mine

First Quartile

C1 Cash Cost¹

Top 10 Uranium Mine by Production²

4th largest open-pit

+20 Year Mine Life³

38.9mlb

Cumulative production



^{1.} UxC Uranium Production Cost Study – August 2015

^{3.} At current processing rates




Results Overview and Optimisation Success



Half Year to 31 December 2016 Highlights

References below to 2015 are to the equivalent half year ended 31 December 2015



Production 2.500mlbs

Increase from 2.342mlb in 2015



US\$25.96/lb ASP

Decrease from US\$40.54/lb in 2015

Down 36%



C1 Cash Cost US\$16.25/lb

Decrease from US\$26.50/lb in 2015





Sales 2.125mlbs

Decrease from 2.499mlbs in 2015

Down 15%



Down 33%

All-in Cash Expenditure US\$28.38/lb

Decrease from US\$42.66/lb in 2015




Cash US\$26.7m

Within guidance range US\$20-30m




Half Year to 31 December 2016 Cash Flow





Half Year to 31 December 2016 EBITDA Variance Analysis






Optimisation Success: Significant C1 Cost Reductions

Optimisation has resulted in a reduction in C1 Cash Costs to a record low of US\$16.25/lb

C1 Cash Cost (US\$/Ib)



Notes:



Optimisation Success: Exploration and Other Controllable Costs


Exploration and other controllable costs have been significantly reduced and are expected to hit a "run rate" of c. US\$11m per year from FY18E

Exploration

- December quarter, sold stake in Deep Yellow and three minor projects in Australia for US\$4.5m
- Binding agreement to sell 30% of Manyingee for US\$10.0m, expected to close late March early April 2017
- Exploration carrying cost of c. US\$2-3m until improved uranium market

Corporate Costs and KM

- Corporate head count has been reduced by approximately two thirds since 2015
- All non-essential expenditure continues to be reduced
- Corporate costs will likely plateau around US\$3-4m per year and KM care and maintenance at US\$5-6m per year





Balance Sheet Strength – Restructure Proposal

2



Key Terms of Restructure Proposal

Restructure Proposal is a holistic and long lasting solution

Item	Comments
Debt for equity	 US\$145 million of the 2017 and 2020 Convertible bonds converted to equity (pro rata)
	 Conversion price of A\$0.05. but increased share issue to bondholders if equity raising price below A\$0.035
Restructure of remaining existing convertible bond value	New Secured Bonds due 2022
	US\$115 million
	Maturity in 2022; 7% cash coupon
	 75% of the accrued interest on existing bonds to be exchanged for New Secured Bonds (in addition to the face value of US\$115 million)
	New 2024 Convertible Bonds
	US\$102 million
	Maturity in 2024; zero coupon
	 Conversion price of US\$0.0512 (ie, equivalent to A\$0.07 at time of announcement)
	• 25% of the accrued interest on existing bonds to be exchanged for New 2024 Convertible Bonds (in addition to the face value of US\$115 million)
New Equity Issue	Minimum US\$75 million
	Likely to include pro-rata element
	Strong indicative support from large institutional shareholders
Key conditions precedent	 75% approval of the 2017 and 2020 convertible bond – as at 14 February, bondholders representing 72% of the 2017 convertible bonds and 46% of the 2020 convertible bonds have already signed undertakings to support
	Shareholder approval – simple majority thresholds (i.e. 50% of shares voted)
	EDF agreement to amendments and security sharing
	 Non-exercise of possible CNNC option to acquire 75% of Langer Heinrich – 60-day option triggered 10 January, no waiver agreed as yet




Indicative Timeline

Date	Event
Late February	Bondholder meeting convened for March
Late March	 Bondholder meeting to be held Launch equity raising
Late April	 Shareholder meeting to approve all resolutions to give effect to restructure Conditions satisfied, new shares and bonds to be issued and new agreements come into effect



Stronger, More Flexible Balance Sheet

- Debt reduced by US\$145m and additional likely proceeds from sale of Manyingee stake to bring in US\$85-95m new cash (expected to conclude late March / early April)
- New Equity Issue:
 - Earliest debt maturity deferred to 2022 and reduced from US\$212m (previously due April 2017) to US\$115m
 - Optionality to redeem New 2024 Convertible Bonds




Notes:

^{1.} Debt figures shown at face value



Key Outcomes



Assuming Restructure Proposal goes ahead



30-Jun-17

4-5Years

US\$9.9m

US\$26-28/lb3



- Long-term holders
- Sovereign wealth funds

Independent Australian public uranium company

¹ Based on face value of debt

² Based on December 2016 pro-forma and assumes and equity raising of US\$75m

³ Assumes non-curtailment production of 5.0-5.2mlbp.a.



Best Senior Leverage To Uranium Upside


3




Uranium is Unsustainable at US\$20-25/lb

Contracts are rolling off industry-wide and the spot price is too low to cover costs for most

Global uranium cost curve vs forecasted achieved price (US\$/lb)



Global uranium cost curve vs forecasted achieved price (US\$/lb)



- Taking into account contracts and un-contracted volumes, Paladin estimates the industry average received price is now falling below US\$40/lb
- If Spot stays US\$20-25/lb, average received prices will fall <US\$30/lb by 2019
- Up to 40% of global uranium supply would be at risk under those conditions




Positive Catalysts Are Happening

Positive supply and demand changes are already driving prices off CY16 lows

Key catalysts


- KazAtomProm announced (in January), it plans to cut 2017 uranium production by 10% (equates to c. 4% of global production)
- New regulation in New York and Illinois prove US policy is becoming more supportive for nuclear
- Progress continues towards the construction for the Hinkley Point C nuclear power plant in the UK
- The Genkai 3 and 4 reactors in Japan have been cleared to restart





Medium and Long-Term Rationale for Stronger Uranium Prices is Compelling

Broker consensus uranium price forecast (US\$/Ib)



Broker commentary

The deal [restructuring] is also positive in that it should enable PDN to trade through this period of uranium price weakness, which we believe is not sustainable given only legacy contracts are keeping the industry above water, and these will run off eventually.

UBS, 10 January 2017

Cameco estimates that ~300mmlbs of long-term contracting has been deferred between 2013-2015 as utilities hold off on purchases and compress their typical 3-5 year purchasing window. Uncovered utility requirements approach significant levels beginning in 2019-2021, where Cameco believes utilities will have to place a greater emphasis on security of supply beginning around 2018. We estimate U.S. unfilled uranium requirements reaches 75% (~35mmlbs) in 2021 (5-year view) – which remains above the historical average of 59% (since 2009). Cameco estimates global unfilled requirement of ~80mmlbs by 2021 – an amount that can no longer be satisfied by spot volumes (~45mmlbs / year)

Credit Suisse, 22 November 2016

On January 9, Kazatomprom announced that it will lower production by ~10% or 5.2mmlb in 2017 (~3% of global supply) and, along with its JV partners, will continue to apply production discretion in light of market developments... On the back of Cameco's capacity curtailments in 2016 (~7mmlb annual production), Kazatomprom's announcement finally indicates that the two largest producers are serious about correcting what has been an oversupplied market and likely marks a bottom in the spot price.


TD Securities, 12 January 2017


Source: Broker reports Paladin Energy December 2016 Half Year Results 19



Unique Leverage Through Potential Volume Growth

Our fully built capacity plus pipeline provides unique growth potential







MAXIMISE LHM OPERATING CASH FLOWS THROUGH CONTINUED OPTIMISATION INITIATIVES WHILST PRESERVING THE INTEGRITY OF THE LONG-TERM LIFE OF MINE PLAN

MAINTAIN KM AND EXPLORATION ON A "MINIMAL EXPENDITURE, CARE AND MAINTENANCE BASIS"

MINIMISE CORPORATE AND ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

COMPLETE BALANCE SHEET STRENGTHENING RESTRUCTURE

PREPARE FOR GROWTH



Revised FY2017 Guidance

Production in excess of 4.0mlb vs 3.8-4.0mlb previously

Amended LHM mine plan to enhance operating level cash flows

LHM C1 US\$16.50-18.50/lb *vs US\$17-19/lb previously*

"All in" US\$29-31/lb (no change)

US\$14m corporate costs, KM care and maintenance and exploration

US\$5m lower than FY2016 (no change)

March Quarter

Sales 700,000lb-800,000lb
Production 0.9mlb-1.0mlb
LHM C1 cash cost US\$17-19/lb
Cash balance US\$10-20m



Paladin Energy Ltd – Contact Details

Head Office

Level 4, 502 Hay Street

Subiaco Western Australia 6008

PO Box 201, Subiaco

Western Australia 6904

Telephone: +61 (0) 8 9381 4366

Facsimile: +61 (0) 8 9381 4978

Email: paladin@paladinenergy.com.au

Website: www.paladinenergy.com.au

Investor Relations

Andrew Mirco

Telephone: +61 (0) 8 9423 8162

Mobile: +61-409-087-171